

Title	R上の周期係数楕円型作用素のグリーン関数(スペクトル・散乱理論とその周辺)
Author(s)	Tsuchida, Tetsuo
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (2006), 1510: 127-140
Issue Date	2006-07
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/58579
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

\mathbf{R} 上の周期係数楕円型作用素のグリーン関数

土田 哲生 (Tetsuo Tsuchida)

名城大・理工 Department of Mathematics, Meijo University

In the one dimensional case we shall show that the Green functions of elliptic operators with periodic coefficients are written as a product of an exponential function and a periodic function, and that the limiting absorption principle holds for all λ in the interior of the spectrum. We shall also calculate the resolvent kernel for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ in the resolvent set. The results are joint work with M. Murata, Tokyo Institute of Technology.

Let

$$L = -\frac{d}{dx}\left(a(x)\frac{d}{dx}\right) + c(x),$$

where $a(x)$ and $c(x)$ are real-valued periodic functions with period 1. Assume that $a \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ and $0 < \mu \leq a(x) \leq \mu^{-1}$ for some constant μ , and that $c \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R})$. Corresponding to this operator, we consider the equation

$$\frac{d}{dx} \begin{pmatrix} y_1(x) \\ y_2(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a(x)^{-1} \\ c(x) - z & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1(x) \\ y_2(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

for $z \in \mathbf{C}$. By the standard iteration method of ordinary differential equations, we can find unique solutions to (1), $(c_1(x, z), c_2(x, z))$ and $(s_1(x, z), s_2(x, z))$ with the initial conditions

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1(0, z) \\ c_2(0, z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} s_1(0, z) \\ s_2(0, z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively, in the space of \mathbf{C}^2 -valued absolutely continuous functions $AC(\mathbf{R})^2$. We can also see that $c_j(x, z)$ and $s_j(x, z)$ are $C([-R, R])$ -valued entire functions of z for any R .

For each $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}$, the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} y \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}) \\ Ly = zy \\ y(x+1) = e^{i\zeta}y(x) \quad (\zeta\text{-periodicity}) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} (y_1, y_2) \in AC(\mathbf{R})^2 \\ (y_1, y_2) \text{ satisfies (1) and } y_1 \text{ satisfies the } \zeta\text{-periodicity} \end{cases}$$

under the relation $y_1 = y$, $y_2 = ay'$. Writing a solution to (2) as $y(x) = \alpha_1 c_1(x, z) + \alpha_2 s_1(x, z)$, $|\alpha_1|^2 + |\alpha_2|^2 \neq 0$, by the ζ -periodicity we have $(M(z) - e^{i\zeta}I)\alpha = 0$, where

$$M(z) := \begin{pmatrix} c_1(1, z) & s_1(1, z) \\ c_2(1, z) & s_2(1, z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We see that $\det(M(z) - e^{i\zeta}I) = 0$ if and only if

$$D(z) = e^{i\zeta} + e^{-i\zeta}, \quad (3)$$

where $D(z) := c_1(1, z) + s_2(1, z)$ is the discriminant, which is an entire function. Hence the existence of non-trivial solution of (2) is equivalent to (3).

A function y is an eigenfunction of (2) if and only if $u(x) = e^{-ix\zeta}y(x)$ is an eigenfunction of $L(\zeta)$ with the same eigenvalue. Here $L(\zeta) = e^{-ix\zeta}Le^{ix\zeta}$ is an operator on $L^2(\mathbf{T})$ with compact resolvent with the domain $D(L(\zeta)) = \{u \in H^1(\mathbf{T}); L(\zeta)u \in L^2(\mathbf{T})\}$. Regarding L as the selfadjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbf{R})$ with the domain $D(L) = \{u \in H^1(\mathbf{R}); Lu \in L^2(\mathbf{R})\}$, we have the direct integral decomposition $\mathcal{U}L\mathcal{U}^{-1} = \int_{[-\pi, \pi)}^\oplus L(\xi)d\xi$, where \mathcal{U} is a unitary operator (cf. [RS]).

We denote the eigenvalues of $L(\xi)$ by $\lambda_1(\xi) \leq \lambda_2(\xi) \leq \dots$ for $\xi \in \mathbf{R}$ counted with multiplicities. Each $\lambda_n(\xi)$ is known to be continuous on \mathbf{R} . We summarize several facts, which can be proved in ways similar to those in [E], [Ku], [Ma], and [RS]. Each $\lambda_n(\xi)$ is real analytic on $(0, \pi)$, and for $\xi \in (0, \pi)$, $\lambda_n(\xi)$ is a nondegenerate eigenvalue of $L(\xi)$. There exists a sequence of real numbers

$$-\infty < \mu_1 < \nu_1 \leq \nu_2 < \mu_2 \leq \mu_3 < \nu_3 \leq \dots$$

such that it tends to infinity and has the following properties:

(i) The spectrum $\sigma(L)$ of L is $\cup_{n=1}^\infty ([\mu_{2n-1}, \nu_{2n-1}] \cup [\nu_{2n}, \mu_{2n}])$; and $|D(\lambda)| \leq 2$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, if and only if $\lambda \in \sigma(L)$.

(ii) $D(\lambda) = 2$ only at $\lambda = \mu_j$, and $D(\lambda) = -2$ only at $\lambda = \nu_j$.

(iii) $D'(\lambda) < 0$ on $(-\infty, \nu_1)$ and (μ_{2n-1}, ν_{2n-1}) , and $D'(\lambda) > 0$ on (ν_{2n}, μ_{2n}) .

(iv) $\lambda'_{2n-1}(\xi) > 0$ and $\lambda'_{2n}(\xi) < 0$ on $(0, \pi)$; in the interval $[0, \pi]$, $\lambda_{2n-1}(\xi)$ increases from μ_{2n-1} to ν_{2n-1} , and $\lambda_{2n}(\xi)$ decreases from μ_{2n} to ν_{2n} ; $\lambda_n(k\pi + \xi) = \lambda_n(k\pi - \xi)$ for any integer k and real ξ .

(v) If $\lambda_{2n-1}(\pi) = \lambda_{2n}(\pi)$, then $\lambda_{2n-1}(\pi - 0) \neq 0$; if $\lambda_{2n}(0) = \lambda_{2n+1}(0)$, then $\lambda_{2n+1}(0 + 0) \neq 0$.

(vi) If $\nu_{2n-1} \neq \nu_{2n}$, then $D'(\nu_{2n-1}) \neq 0$ and $D'(\nu_{2n}) \neq 0$, and ν_{2n-1} and ν_{2n} are nondegenerate eigenvalues of $L(\pi)$; if $\mu_{2n} \neq \mu_{2n+1}$, then $D'(\mu_{2n}) \neq 0$ and $D'(\mu_{2n+1}) \neq 0$ and μ_{2n} and μ_{2n+1} are nondegenerate eigenvalues of $L(0)$; if $\nu_{2n-1} = \nu_{2n}$ or $\mu_{2n} = \mu_{2n+1}$, then $D' = 0$ at these points, and these are doubly degenerate eigenvalues of $L(\pi)$ or $L(0)$, respectively; if $D(\lambda) \geq 2$ (≤ -2) and $D'(\lambda) = 0$, then $D''(\lambda) < 0$ (> 0).

We denote by $G_z(x, y)$ the integral kernel of the resolvent $R(z) := (L - z)^{-1}$ for z in the resolvent set. We use the notations $(u, v) = \int_0^1 u(x)\overline{v(x)}dx$ and $\|u\|^2 = (u, u)$.

First, let λ be in the interior of $\sigma(L)$. Then the only one of the following four cases holds:

(I) $\lambda = \lambda_{2n-1}(\xi) \in (\mu_{2n-1}, \nu_{2n-1})$ for some $\xi \in (0, \pi)$,

(II) $\lambda = \lambda_{2n}(\xi) \in (\nu_{2n}, \mu_{2n})$ for some $\xi \in (-\pi, 0)$,

(III) $\lambda = \lambda_{2n-1}(\pi) = \lambda_{2n}(\pi) = \nu_{2n-1} = \nu_{2n}$,

(IV) $\lambda = \lambda_{2n}(0) = \lambda_{2n+1}(0) = \mu_{2n} = \mu_{2n+1}$.

Theorem 1. Assume that λ is in the interior of $\sigma(L)$. There exists the limit

$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)^m R(\lambda \pm i\varepsilon)f(x)$ in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbf{R})$ for $m \geq 0$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$ with compact support, and the convergence is locally uniform in the interior of $\sigma(L)$. The integral kernels $G_{\lambda+i0}(x, y)$ and $G_{\lambda+i0}^{(m)}(x, y)$ of $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} R(\lambda + i\varepsilon)$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)^m R(\lambda + i\varepsilon)$, $m \geq 1$, admit the following expressions:

Case (I).

$$G_{\lambda+i0}(x, y) = G_{\lambda+i0}(y, x) = \frac{ie^{i(x-y)\xi}}{\lambda'_{2n-1}(\xi)} \frac{u_\xi(x)\overline{u_\xi(y)}}{\|u_\xi\|^2}, \quad y \leq x,$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\lambda+i0}^{(m)}(x, y) &= G_{\lambda+i0}^{(m)}(y, x) \\ &= \left(\frac{i}{\lambda'_{2n-1}(\xi)}\right)^{m+1} (x-y)^m e^{i(x-y)\xi} \frac{u_\xi(x)\overline{u_\xi(y)}}{\|u_\xi\|^2} (1 + O(|x-y|^{-1})), \quad y \leq x. \end{aligned}$$

Here u_ξ is an eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{2n-1}(\xi)$.

Case (II). $G_{\lambda+i0}(x, y)$ and $G_{\lambda+i0}^{(m)}(x, y)$ admit the same expressions as in (I) with $\lambda'_{2n-1}(\xi)$ replaced by $\lambda'_{2n}(\xi)$, and with u_ξ being an eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{2n}(\xi)$.

Case (III). With u_ξ being a $C(\mathbf{T})$ -valued holomorphic function in a neighborhood of π such that $\|u_\xi\| \neq 0$, $(L(\xi) - \lambda_{2n-1}(\xi))u_\xi = 0$ for $\xi \leq \pi$, and $(L(\xi) - \lambda_{2n}(\xi))u_\xi = 0$ for $\pi < \xi$,

$$G_{\lambda+i0}(x, y) = G_{\lambda+i0}(y, x) = \frac{ie^{i(x-y)\pi}}{\lambda'_{2n-1}(\pi-0)} \frac{u_\pi(x)\overline{u_\pi(y)}}{\|u_\pi\|^2}, \quad y \leq x,$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\lambda+i0}^{(m)}(x, y) &= G_{\lambda+i0}^{(m)}(y, x) \\ &= \left(\frac{i}{\lambda'_{2n-1}(\pi-0)}\right)^{m+1} (x-y)^m e^{i(x-y)\pi} \frac{u_\pi(x)\overline{u_\pi(y)}}{\|u_\pi\|^2} (1 + O(|x-y|^{-1})), \quad y \leq x. \end{aligned}$$

Case (IV). With u_ξ being a $C(\mathbf{T})$ -valued holomorphic function in a neighborhood of 0 such that $\|u_\xi\| \neq 0$, $(L(\xi) - \lambda_{2n+1}(\xi))u_\xi = 0$ for $0 \leq \xi$, and $(L(\xi) - \lambda_{2n}(\xi))u_\xi = 0$ for $\xi < 0$,

$$G_{\lambda+i0}(x, y) = G_{\lambda+i0}(y, x) = \frac{i}{\lambda'_{2n+1}(0+0)} \frac{u_0(x)\overline{u_0(y)}}{\|u_0\|^2}, \quad y \leq x,$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\lambda+i0}^{(m)}(x, y) &= G_{\lambda+i0}^{(m)}(y, x) \\ &= \left(\frac{i}{\lambda'_{2n+1}(0+0)}\right)^{m+1} (x-y)^m \frac{u_0(x)\overline{u_0(y)}}{\|u_0\|^2} (1 + O(|x-y|^{-1})), \quad y \leq x. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (I) Since $D'(\lambda) < 0$ on (μ_{2n-1}, ν_{2n-1}) , there exists a holomorphic inverse function D^{-1} of D on an open set containing $(-2, 2)$. Put $\lambda(\zeta) := D^{-1}(e^{i\zeta} + e^{-i\zeta})$ for ζ in an open set containing $(0, \pi)$. We have $\lambda(\xi) = \lambda_{2n-1}(\xi)$ for $\xi \in (0, \pi)$. Let

$$\alpha(\zeta) = (\alpha_1(\zeta), \alpha_2(\zeta)) := (-s_1(1, \lambda(\zeta)), c_1(1, \lambda(\zeta)) - e^{i\zeta}).$$

Since $\alpha(\xi) \neq 0$ for $\xi \in (0, \pi)$, $\alpha(\zeta)$ is an eigenvector of $M(\lambda(\zeta))$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $e^{i\zeta}$ for ζ in an open set containing $(0, \pi)$. Thus $y_\zeta(x) := \alpha_1(\zeta)c_1(x, \lambda(\zeta)) +$

$\alpha_2(\zeta)s_1(x, \lambda(\zeta))$ satisfies (2) with z replaced by $\lambda(\zeta)$. So $u_\zeta(x) := e^{-i\zeta x}y_\zeta(x)$ is a $C(\mathbf{T})$ -valued holomorphic eigenfunction of $L(\zeta)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda(\zeta)$. Since $\lambda'_{2n-1}(\xi) > 0$ on $(0, \pi)$, the inverse function theorem implies that there exists a holomorphic function $\zeta(z)$ on an open set containing (μ_{2n-1}, ν_{2n-1}) such that $\lambda(\zeta(z)) = z$. For each $\lambda \in (\mu_{2n-1}, \nu_{2n-1})$, if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough, $y_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}(x)$ is a solution to the equation $Ly = (\lambda + i\varepsilon)y$. Taking the complex conjugate of this equation and replacing ε by $-\varepsilon$, we obtain that $\overline{y_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}(x)}$ is also a solution. Since $\zeta'(\lambda) > 0$, we obtain the linearly independent solutions to $Ly = (\lambda + i\varepsilon)y$:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}(x) &= e^{i\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)x} u_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}(x) = \exp[(i\zeta(\lambda) - \varepsilon\zeta'(\lambda) + O(\varepsilon^2))x] u_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}(x), \\ \overline{y_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}(x)} &= e^{-i\overline{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}x} \overline{u_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}(x)} = \exp[(-i\zeta(\lambda) + \varepsilon\zeta'(\lambda) + O(\varepsilon^2))x] \overline{u_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $[y, \tilde{y}](x) := a(x)(y(x)\tilde{y}'(x) - y'(x)\tilde{y}(x))$ be the Wronskian of two solutions y and \tilde{y} . Then

$$G_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}(x, y) = \begin{cases} y_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}(x) \overline{y_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}(y)} / [y_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}, \overline{y_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}}](0), & y \leq x, \\ y_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}(y) \overline{y_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}(x)} / [y_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}, \overline{y_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}}](0), & x \leq y, \end{cases}$$

(cf. §5.3 in [E]). Since $[y_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}, \overline{y_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}}](x)$ is a constant independent of x and $\zeta(\lambda + i\varepsilon) = \overline{\zeta(\lambda - i\varepsilon)}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &[y_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}, \overline{y_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}}](0) \\ &= \int_0^1 ([u_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}, \overline{u_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}}](x) - 2i\zeta(\lambda + i\varepsilon)a(x)u_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}(x)\overline{u_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}(x)})dx. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 [a(x)(\frac{d}{dx} + i\zeta(\lambda + i\varepsilon))u_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}(x)(\frac{d}{dx} - i\zeta(\lambda + i\varepsilon))\overline{u_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}(x)} \\ &\quad + c(x)u_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}(x)\overline{u_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}(x)}]dx = (\lambda + i\varepsilon)(u_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}, u_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}). \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating both sides of this equation with respect to λ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &i\zeta'(\lambda + i\varepsilon) \int_0^1 ([u_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}, \overline{u_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}}](x) - 2i\zeta(\lambda + i\varepsilon)a(x)u_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}(x)\overline{u_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}(x)})dx \\ &= (u_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}, u_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$i\zeta'(\lambda + i\varepsilon)[y_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}, \overline{y_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}}](0) = (u_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}, u_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}).$$

Therefore we have

$$G_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}(x, y) = G_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}(y, x) = i\zeta'(\lambda + i\varepsilon)e^{i\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)(x-y)} \frac{u_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}(x)\overline{u_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}(y)}}{(u_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}, u_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}), \quad y \leq x.$$

Taking the limit $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we have the existence of the limit $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} R(\lambda \pm i\varepsilon)f(x)$ and

$$G_{\lambda+i0}(x, y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} G_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}(x, y) = \frac{ie^{i(x-y)\xi} u_\xi(x) \overline{u_\xi(y)}}{\lambda'_{2n-1}(\xi) \|u_\xi\|^2}, \quad y \leq x,$$

where $\xi = \zeta(\lambda)$, i.e., $\lambda_{2n-1}(\xi) = \lambda$. Furthermore, we can see that for any integer $m \geq 1$, the limit $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)^m R(\lambda \pm i\varepsilon)f(x)$ exists and

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\lambda+i0}^{(m)}(x, y) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)^m G_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}(x, y) \\ &= \left(\frac{i}{\lambda'_{2n-1}(\xi)}\right)^{m+1} (x-y)^m e^{i(x-y)\xi} \frac{u_\xi(x) \overline{u_\xi(y)}}{\|u_\xi\|^2} (1 + O(|x-y|^{-1})), \quad y \leq x. \end{aligned}$$

We have thus proved the case (I). The case (II) is proved in the same way as (I).

(III) Assume that $\lambda_{2n-1}(\pi) = \lambda_{2n}(\pi) = \nu_{2n-1} = \nu_{2n}$. Since ν_{2n} is a doubly degenerate eigenvalue and $L(\xi)$ is selfadjoint for ξ real, Theorem XII.13 in [RS] implies that there exist holomorphic eigenvalues $E_1(\zeta)$ and $E_2(\zeta)$ of $L(\zeta)$ near $\zeta = \pi$ such that $E_1(\pi) = E_2(\pi) = \nu_{2n}$. If $\xi \in \mathbf{R}$, each of $\lambda_{2n-1}(\xi)$ and $\lambda_{2n}(\xi)$ must be equal to one of $E_j(\xi)$, $j = 1, 2$. Since $D(E_j(\xi)) = 2 \cos \xi$ near $\xi = \pi$, we have

$$D''(E_j(\xi))E'_j(\xi)^2 + D'(E_j(\xi))E''_j(\xi) = -2 \cos \xi.$$

So, since $D'(\nu_{2n}) = 0$ and $D''(\nu_{2n}) > 0$, we obtain that $E'_j(\pi) \neq 0$ (which implies the fact (v) stated before Theorem 1). Since

$$\begin{cases} \lambda'_{2n-1}(\xi) > 0, & \xi < \pi, \\ \lambda'_{2n}(\xi) > 0, & \pi < \xi, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \lambda'_{2n-1}(\xi) < 0, & \pi < \xi, \\ \lambda'_{2n}(\xi) < 0, & \xi < \pi, \end{cases}$$

we conclude that there exist holomorphic functions $E_1(\zeta)$ and $E_2(\zeta)$ on an open set containing $(0, 2\pi)$ such that

$$E_1(\xi) = \begin{cases} \lambda_{2n-1}(\xi), & 0 \leq \xi \leq \pi, \\ \lambda_{2n}(\xi), & \pi \leq \xi \leq 2\pi, \end{cases} \quad E_2(\xi) = \begin{cases} \lambda_{2n}(\xi), & 0 \leq \xi \leq \pi, \\ \lambda_{2n-1}(\xi), & \pi \leq \xi \leq 2\pi. \end{cases}$$

Since $E'_1(\xi) > 0$ on $(0, 2\pi)$, the inverse function theorem implies that there exists a holomorphic function $\zeta(z)$ on an open set containing (μ_{2n-1}, μ_{2n}) such that $E_1(\zeta(z)) = z$.

Let $p(\xi)$ be the eigenprojection for the eigenvalue $e^{i\xi}$ of $M(E_1(\xi))$ for $\xi \in (0, \pi) \cup (\pi, 2\pi)$:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\xi) &:= (-2\pi i)^{-1} \oint_{|z-e^{i\xi}|=\delta} (M(E_1(\xi)) - z)^{-1} dz \\ &= \frac{-1}{e^{i\xi} - e^{-i\xi}} \begin{pmatrix} s_2(1, E_1(\xi)) - e^{i\xi} & -s_1(1, E_1(\xi)) \\ -c_2(1, E_1(\xi)) & c_1(1, E_1(\xi)) - e^{i\xi} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta > 0$ is taken so that $e^{i\xi}$ is the only eigenvalue of $M(E_1(\xi))$ inside the circle $|z - e^{i\xi}| = \delta$. Since $s_2(1, \nu_{2n}) + 1 = c_1(1, \nu_{2n}) + 1 = s_1(1, \nu_{2n}) = c_2(1, \nu_{2n}) = 0$ (cf. [E, p.7 and p.29]), $\xi = \pi$ is a removable singularity of $p(\xi)$. We have $(p(\xi))_{11} \neq 0$ on $(0, 2\pi)$, since

$$(p(\pi))_{11} = (2i)^{-1} \partial_\xi (s_2(1, E_1(\xi)) - e^{i\xi})|_{\xi=\pi} = (2i)^{-1} (\partial_z s_2(1, \nu_{2n}) E_1'(\pi) + i) \neq 0.$$

Thus $p(\xi)$ is a real analytic rank one matrix on $(0, 2\pi)$. Note that the holomorphically extended $p(\zeta)$ to an open set containing $(0, 2\pi)$ is the eigenprojection for the eigenvalue $e^{i\zeta}$ of $M(E_1(\zeta))$. Thus the function $y_\zeta(x) := (p(\zeta))_{11} c_1(x, E_1(\zeta)) + (p(\zeta))_{21} s_1(x, E_1(\zeta))$ is a solution to (2) with z replaced by $E_1(\zeta)$; and so $u_\zeta(x) = e^{-i\zeta x} y_\zeta(x)$ is a $C(\mathbf{T})$ -valued holomorphic eigenfunction of $L(\zeta)$ corresponding to $E_1(\zeta)$ on an open set containing $(0, 2\pi)$. Thus as in the case (I), since $\zeta'(\lambda) > 0$ for $\lambda \in (\mu_{2n-1}, \mu_{2n})$, $y_{\zeta(\lambda+i\varepsilon)}(x)$ and $\overline{y_{\zeta(\lambda-i\varepsilon)}(x)}$ are linearly independent solutions to $Ly = (\lambda + i\varepsilon)y$. Hence, as in the proof of (I) we have

$$G_{\nu_{2n}+i0}(x, y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} G_{\nu_{2n}+i\varepsilon}(x, y) = \frac{ie^{i(x-y)\pi}}{E_1'(\pi)} \frac{u_\pi(x) \overline{u_\pi(y)}}{\|u_\pi\|^2}, \quad y \leq x,$$

and for any integer $m \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\nu_{2n}+i0}^{(m)}(x, y) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)^m G_{\nu_{2n}+i\varepsilon}(x, y) \\ &= \left(\frac{i}{E_1'(\pi)}\right)^{m+1} (x-y)^m e^{i(x-y)\pi} \frac{u_\pi(x) \overline{u_\pi(y)}}{\|u_\pi\|^2} (1 + O(|x-y|^{-1})), \quad y \leq x. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $E_1'(\pi) = \lambda'_{2n-1}(\pi-0)$. We have thus proved (III). (IV) is proved similarly. From the proof above it follows that the convergence $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)^m R(\lambda \pm i\varepsilon)f(x)$ is locally uniform with respect to λ . \square

The following is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.

Corollary 2. *Let λ be in the interior of $\sigma(L)$. Then $\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)^m R(\lambda \pm i0)$, $m \geq 0$, is bounded from $B_{\frac{1}{2}+m}$ to $B_{\frac{1}{2}+m}^*$.*

Proof. Let $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$. Since Theorem 1 yields that

$$\left|\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)^m R(\lambda + i0)f(x)\right| \leq C_m(1+|x|)^m \int_{\mathbf{R}} (1+|y|)^m |f(y)| dy \leq C_m(1+|x|)^m \|f\|_{B_{\frac{1}{2}+m}},$$

it follows that

$$\left\|\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)^m R(\lambda + i0)f(x)\right\|_{B_{\frac{1}{2}+m}^*} \leq C_m \|(1+|x|)^m\|_{B_{\frac{1}{2}+m}^*} \|f\|_{B_{\frac{1}{2}+m}} \leq C_m \|f\|_{B_{\frac{1}{2}+m}}.$$

\square

Next we study the case that the parameter $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ is in the resolvent set of L . This case is equivalent to $|D(\lambda)| > 2$. $D(\lambda) > 2$ if and only if $\lambda \in A_+ := (-\infty, \mu_1) \cup [\cup_{n=1}^\infty (\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1})]$; and $D(\lambda) < -2$ if and only if $\lambda \in A_- := \cup_{n=1}^\infty (\nu_{2n-1}, \nu_{2n})$. Consider a function $e^\eta + e^{-\eta}$ on $(0, \infty)$, and solve the equation

$$e^\eta + e^{-\eta} = D(\lambda)$$

with respect to η , where $\lambda \in A_+$. By the implicit function theorem, we have a unique solution $\eta(\lambda)$ which is real analytic on A_+ . Similarly, define $\eta(\lambda)$ on A_- by $e^\eta + e^{-\eta} = -D(\lambda)$. Note that $\dim \text{Ker}(L(\pm i\eta(\lambda)) - \lambda) = 1$ for $\lambda \in A_+$ and $\dim \text{Ker}(L(\pi \pm i\eta(\lambda)) - \lambda) = 1$ for $\lambda \in A_-$ (cf. [E, p.6]).

Theorem 3. (i) Let $\lambda \in A_+$. Let u_λ and v_λ be real-valued eigenfunctions of $L(i\eta(\lambda))$ and $L(-i\eta(\lambda))$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ , respectively.

Suppose $D'(\lambda) \neq 0$. Then $(u_\lambda, v_\lambda) \neq 0$ and

$$G_\lambda(x, y) = G_\lambda(y, x) = -\eta'(\lambda)e^{-\eta(\lambda)(x-y)} \frac{u_\lambda(x)v_\lambda(y)}{(u_\lambda, v_\lambda)}, \quad y \leq x. \quad (4)$$

Suppose $D'(\lambda) = 0$. Then there exists a solution $\psi_{v_\lambda} \in H^1(\mathbf{T})$ of the equation $(L(-i\eta(\lambda)) - \lambda)\psi = v_\lambda$ such that $(u_\lambda, \psi_{v_\lambda}) \neq 0$, and

$$G_\lambda(x, y) = G_\lambda(y, x) = -\frac{\eta''(\lambda)}{2}e^{-\eta(\lambda)(x-y)} \frac{u_\lambda(x)v_\lambda(y)}{(u_\lambda, \psi_{v_\lambda})}, \quad y \leq x. \quad (5)$$

(ii) Let $\lambda \in A_-$. Let u_λ and v_λ be eigenfunctions of $L(\pi + i\eta(\lambda))$ and $L(\pi - i\eta(\lambda))$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ , respectively.

Suppose $D'(\lambda) \neq 0$. Then $(u_\lambda, v_\lambda) \neq 0$ and

$$G_\lambda(x, y) = G_\lambda(y, x) = -\eta'(\lambda)e^{(i\pi - \eta(\lambda))(x-y)} \frac{u_\lambda(x)\overline{v_\lambda(y)}}{(u_\lambda, v_\lambda)}, \quad y \leq x.$$

Suppose $D'(\lambda) = 0$. Then there exists a solution $\psi_{v_\lambda} \in H^1(\mathbf{T})$ of the equation $(L(\pi - i\eta(\lambda)) - \lambda)\psi = v_\lambda$ such that $(u_\lambda, \psi_{v_\lambda}) \neq 0$, and

$$G_\lambda(x, y) = G_\lambda(y, x) = -\frac{\eta''(\lambda)}{2}e^{(i\pi - \eta(\lambda))(x-y)} \frac{u_\lambda(x)\overline{v_\lambda(y)}}{(u_\lambda, \psi_{v_\lambda})}, \quad y \leq x.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in A_+$. Since $c_1(1, \lambda) - e^{\pm\eta(\lambda)}$ and $s_2(1, \lambda) - e^{\pm\eta(\lambda)} = e^{\mp\eta(\lambda)} - c_1(1, \lambda)$ do not vanish simultaneously on a neighborhood of each $\lambda \in A_+$, there exist nonzero real analytic eigenvectors $\alpha_\pm(\lambda) = (\alpha_{\pm,1}(\lambda), \alpha_{\pm,2}(\lambda))$ of $M(\lambda)$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $e^{\eta(\lambda)}$ and $e^{-\eta(\lambda)}$, respectively. Then $y_\lambda(x) := \alpha_{-,1}(\lambda)c_1(x, \lambda) + \alpha_{-,2}(\lambda)s_1(x, \lambda)$ and $z_\lambda(x) := \alpha_{+,1}(\lambda)c_1(x, \lambda) + \alpha_{+,2}(\lambda)s_1(x, \lambda)$ are solutions to (2) with ζ replaced by $i\eta(\lambda)$ and $-i\eta(\lambda)$. Thus $u_\lambda(x) := e^{\eta(\lambda)x}y_\lambda(x)$ and $v_\lambda(x) := e^{-\eta(\lambda)x}z_\lambda(x)$ are $C(\mathbf{T})$ -valued real analytic eigenfunctions on A_+ of $L(i\eta(\lambda))$ and $L(i\eta(\lambda))^* = L(-i\eta(\lambda))$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ , respectively. Hence $y_\lambda(x) = e^{-\eta(\lambda)x}u_\lambda(x)$ and $z_\lambda(x) = e^{\eta(\lambda)x}v_\lambda(x)$ are linearly independent solutions, and so

$$G_\lambda(x, y) = \begin{cases} y_\lambda(x)z_\lambda(y)/[y_\lambda, z_\lambda](0), & y \leq x, \\ y_\lambda(y)z_\lambda(x)/[y_\lambda, z_\lambda](0), & x \leq y. \end{cases}$$

Since $[y_\lambda, z_\lambda](x)$ is a constant independent of x , it follows that

$$[y_\lambda, z_\lambda](0) = \int_0^1 ([u_\lambda, v_\lambda](x) + 2\eta(\lambda)a(x)u_\lambda(x)v_\lambda(x))dx.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\int_0^1 [a(x)(\frac{d}{dx} - \eta(\lambda))u_\lambda(x)(\frac{d}{dx} + \eta(\lambda))v_\lambda(x) + c(x)u_\lambda(x)v_\lambda(x)]dx = \lambda(u_\lambda, v_\lambda).$$

Differentiating both sides of this equation with respect to λ , we have

$$-\eta'(\lambda) \int_0^1 ([u_\lambda, v_\lambda](x) + 2\eta(\lambda)a(x)u_\lambda(x)v_\lambda(x))dx = (u_\lambda, v_\lambda).$$

Hence

$$-\eta'(\lambda)[y_\lambda, z_\lambda](0) = (u_\lambda, v_\lambda). \quad (6)$$

Suppose $D'(\lambda) \neq 0$. Then $\eta'(\lambda) = D'(\lambda)/(e^{\eta(\lambda)} - e^{-\eta(\lambda)}) \neq 0$ and

$$G_\lambda(x, y) = -\eta'(\lambda)e^{-\eta(\lambda)(x-y)}u_\lambda(x)v_\lambda(y)/(u_\lambda, v_\lambda), \quad y \leq x.$$

Suppose $D'(\lambda) = 0$. Then $\eta'(\lambda) = 0$ and $\eta''(\lambda) = D''(\lambda)/(e^{\eta(\lambda)} - e^{-\eta(\lambda)}) < 0$. Differentiating (6), we have

$$\eta''(\lambda)[y_\lambda, z_\lambda](0) = -(u_\lambda, v_\lambda)'. \quad (7)$$

Therefore

$$G_\lambda(x, y) = -\eta''(\lambda)e^{-\eta(\lambda)(x-y)}u_\lambda(x)v_\lambda(y)/(u_\lambda, v_\lambda)', \quad y \leq x.$$

By (6), $(u_\lambda, v_\lambda) = 0$. Moreover, since $\eta'(\lambda) = 0$,

$$(L(i\eta(\lambda)) - \lambda)\partial_\lambda u_\lambda = u_\lambda \text{ and } (L(-i\eta(\lambda)) - \lambda)\partial_\lambda v_\lambda = v_\lambda. \quad (8)$$

Put $\psi_{v_\lambda} = \partial_\lambda v_\lambda$. Then ψ_{v_λ} is a solution of $(L(-i\eta(\lambda)) - \lambda)\psi = v_\lambda$. By (8), we have

$$(\partial_\lambda u_\lambda, v_\lambda) = (\partial_\lambda u_\lambda, (L(-i\eta(\lambda)) - \lambda)\partial_\lambda v_\lambda) = ((L(i\eta(\lambda)) - \lambda)\partial_\lambda u_\lambda, \partial_\lambda v_\lambda) = (u_\lambda, \partial_\lambda v_\lambda).$$

Thus $(u_\lambda, v_\lambda)' = 2(u_\lambda, \psi_{v_\lambda})$, which together with (7) implies that $(u_\lambda, \psi_{v_\lambda}) \neq 0$. Therefore we have (5). The assertion (ii) is proved similarly. \square

We have seen that in the formula (4) and (5) the different factor $\frac{u_\lambda(x)v_\lambda(y)}{(u_\lambda, v_\lambda)}$ or $\frac{u_\lambda(x)v_\lambda(y)}{(u_\lambda, \psi_{v_\lambda})}$ appears according to whether $D'(\lambda)$ does not vanish or not. This is related to the Laurent expansion of $(L(i\eta(\lambda)) - z)^{-1}$ with respect to z around λ .

Proposition 4. *Let $\lambda \in A_+$. If $D'(\lambda) \neq 0$, the eigenvalue λ of $L(i\eta(\lambda))$ is nondegenerate and its eigenprojection has the integral kernel $\frac{u_\lambda(x)v_\lambda(y)}{(u_\lambda, v_\lambda)}$; and if $D'(\lambda) = 0$, the eigenvalue λ of $L(i\eta(\lambda))$ is degenerate and its eigennilpotent has the integral kernel $\frac{u_\lambda(x)v_\lambda(y)}{(u_\lambda, \psi_{v_\lambda})}$. Similar statement holds for $\lambda \in A_-$.*

Proof. We shall represent the integral kernel $R(\zeta, z; x, y)$ of the resolvent $R(\zeta, z) := (L(\zeta) - z)^{-1}$, by using $c_j(x, z)$ and $s_j(x, z)$. Let $(\zeta, z) \in \Gamma := \{(\zeta, z) \in \mathbb{C}^2; z \notin \sigma(L(\zeta))\}$. Put

$$k(z; x, y) := \begin{cases} c_1(x, z)s_1(y, z), & y \leq x, \\ s_1(x, z)c_1(y, z), & x \leq y. \end{cases}$$

For $f \in C_0^\infty(0, 1)$, put

$$K_z f(x) := \int k(z; x, y) f(y) dy.$$

Since $(L - z)K_z f(x) = f(x)$ and $(L - z)e^{ix\zeta} R(\zeta, z)e^{-ix\zeta} f(x) = f(x)$ on $(0, 1)$, $e^{ix\zeta} R(\zeta, z)e^{-ix\zeta} f(x) - K_z f(x)$ is a solution to $Ly = zy$. Thus

$$e^{ix\zeta} R(\zeta, z)e^{-ix\zeta} f(x) - K_z f(x) = \alpha c_1(x, z) + \beta s_1(x, z) \quad (9)$$

for some α and β . Since $R(\zeta, z)e^{-ix\zeta} f(x) \in D(L(\zeta))$ has the periodicity, we get

$$K_z f(x) + \alpha c_1(x, z) + \beta s_1(x, z) = e^{-i\zeta} (K_z f(x+1) + \alpha c_1(x+1, z) + \beta s_1(x+1, z)), \quad (10)$$

so putting $x = 0$, we have

$$\alpha = e^{-i\zeta} [c_1(1, z) \int_0^1 s_1(y, z) f(y) dy + \alpha c_1(1, z) + \beta s_1(1, z)]. \quad (11)$$

Differentiating both sides of (10) with respect to x and putting $x = 0$, we have

$$\int_0^1 c_1(y, z) f(y) dy + \beta = e^{-i\zeta} [c_2(1, z) \int_0^1 s_1(y, z) f(y) dy + \alpha c_2(1, z) + \beta s_2(1, z)]. \quad (12)$$

Note that $(\zeta, z) \in \Gamma$ if and only if $\delta(\zeta, z) := D(z) - e^{i\zeta} - e^{-i\zeta} \neq 0$. Solving (11) and (12) with respect to (α, β) , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = \delta(\zeta, z)^{-1} \int_0^1 \left[\begin{pmatrix} s_1(1, z) \\ e^{i\zeta} - c_1(1, z) \end{pmatrix} c_1(y, z) + \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\zeta} - c_1(1, z) \\ -c_2(1, z) \end{pmatrix} s_1(y, z) \right] f(y) dy.$$

Combining this with (9), we obtain that

$$R(\zeta, z; x, y) = e^{i\zeta(y-x)} k(z; x, y) + \frac{e^{i\zeta(y-x)} s(\zeta, z; x, y)}{D(z) - e^{i\zeta} - e^{-i\zeta}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s(\zeta, z; x, y) := & [s_1(1, z)c_1(x, z) + (e^{i\zeta} - c_1(1, z))s_1(x, z)]c_1(y, z) \\ & + [(e^{-i\zeta} - c_1(1, z))c_1(x, z) - c_2(1, z)s_1(x, z)]s_1(y, z). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $D'(\lambda) \neq 0$. For z near λ , we have $D(z) - e^{\eta(\lambda)} - e^{-\eta(\lambda)} = (z - \lambda)F_\lambda(z)$ for some $F_\lambda(z)$ such that $F_\lambda(\lambda) = D'(\lambda) \neq 0$. Thus $R(i\eta(\lambda), z; x, y)$ has a pole λ of order one with the residue

$$r_1(\lambda; x, y) := D'(\lambda)^{-1} e^{(x-y)\eta(\lambda)} s(i\eta(\lambda), \lambda; x, y).$$

This implies that the eigenvalue λ of $L(i\eta(\lambda))$ is nondegenerate and its eigenprojection has the integral kernel $-r_1(\lambda; x, y)$. On the other hand, the eigenprojection and its adjoint are

projections onto the spaces $\text{Ker}(L(i\eta(\lambda)) - \lambda)$ and $\text{Ker}(L(-i\eta(\lambda)) - \lambda)$, respectively, so the eigenprojection has the integral kernel $\frac{u_\lambda(x)v_\lambda(y)}{(u_\lambda, v_\lambda)}$. Therefore $\frac{u_\lambda(x)v_\lambda(y)}{(u_\lambda, v_\lambda)} = -r_1(\lambda; x, y)$.

Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ satisfy $D'(\lambda_0) = 0$. For z near λ_0 , we have $D(z) - e^{\eta(\lambda_0)} - e^{-\eta(\lambda_0)} = (z - \lambda_0)^2 H(z)$ for some $H(z)$ such that $H(\lambda_0) = D''(\lambda_0)/2 \neq 0$. Thus $R(i\eta(\lambda_0), z; x, y)$ has a pole λ_0 of order two:

$$R(i\eta(\lambda_0), z; x, y) = r_2(x, y)(z - \lambda_0)^{-2} + O((z - \lambda_0)^{-1}),$$

where

$$r_2(x, y) := 2D''(\lambda_0)^{-1}e^{(x-y)\eta(\lambda_0)}s(i\eta(\lambda_0), \lambda_0; x, y).$$

Hence the eigenvalue λ_0 of $L(i\eta(\lambda_0))$ is degenerate and its eigennilpotent has the integral kernel $-r_2(x, y)$. We shall show that $\frac{u_\lambda(x)v_\lambda(y)}{(u_\lambda, v_\lambda)} = -r_2(x, y)$ at $\lambda = \lambda_0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_z c_1(x, z) &= \int_0^x (c_1(x, z)s_1(t, z) - s_1(x, z)c_1(t, z))c_1(t, z) dt, \\ \partial_z s_2(x, z) &= \int_0^x (c_2(x, z)s_1(t, z) - s_2(x, z)c_1(t, z))s_1(t, z) dt\end{aligned}$$

(cf. [E]), we have for $\lambda \in A_+$

$$\begin{aligned}D'(\lambda) &= \partial_\lambda c_1(1, \lambda) + \partial_\lambda s_2(1, \lambda) \\ &= \int_0^1 [c_2(1, \lambda)s_1(x, \lambda)^2 + (c_1(1, \lambda) - s_2(1, \lambda))c_1(x, \lambda)s_1(x, \lambda) - s_1(1, \lambda)c_1(x, \lambda)^2] dx \\ &= - \int_0^1 s(i\eta(\lambda), \lambda; x, x) dx.\end{aligned}$$

As eigenfunctions of $L(i\eta(\lambda))$ and $L(-i\eta(\lambda))$ for $\lambda \in A_+$ near λ_0 , we can choose u_λ and v_λ as follows: (i) when $c_1(1, \lambda_0) - e^{-\eta(\lambda_0)} \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}u_\lambda(x) &:= e^{\eta(\lambda)x}[-s_1(1, \lambda)c_1(x, \lambda) + (c_1(1, \lambda) - e^{-\eta(\lambda)})s_1(x, \lambda)], \\ v_\lambda(x) &:= e^{-\eta(\lambda)x}[(c_1(1, \lambda) - e^{-\eta(\lambda)})c_1(x, \lambda) + c_2(1, \lambda)s_1(x, \lambda)];\end{aligned}$$

(ii) when $c_1(1, \lambda_0) - e^{\eta(\lambda_0)} \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}u_\lambda(x) &:= e^{\eta(\lambda)x}[(c_1(1, \lambda) - e^{\eta(\lambda)})c_1(x, \lambda) + c_2(1, \lambda)s_1(x, \lambda)], \\ v_\lambda(x) &:= e^{-\eta(\lambda)x}[-s_1(1, \lambda)c_1(x, \lambda) + (c_1(1, \lambda) - e^{\eta(\lambda)})s_1(x, \lambda)].\end{aligned}$$

Let us treat the former case. (The latter is done similarly.) We have

$$\begin{aligned}s_1(1, \lambda)c_2(1, \lambda) &= c_1(1, \lambda)s_2(1, \lambda) - 1 \\ &= c_1(1, \lambda)(e^{\eta(\lambda)x} + e^{-\eta(\lambda)x} - c_1(1, \lambda)) - 1 = (e^{\eta(\lambda)x} - c_1(1, \lambda))(c_1(1, \lambda) - e^{-\eta(\lambda)x}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} u_\lambda(x)v_\lambda(y) &= -e^{\eta(\lambda)(x-y)}(c_1(1, \lambda) - e^{-\eta(\lambda)})s(i\eta(\lambda), \lambda; x, y), \\ (u_\lambda, v_\lambda) &= (c_1(1, \lambda) - e^{-\eta(\lambda)})D'(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

So $(u_\lambda, v_\lambda)' = (c_1(1, \lambda) - e^{-\eta(\lambda)})D''(\lambda)$ at $\lambda = \lambda_0$. Therefore

$$\frac{u_\lambda(x)v_\lambda(y)}{(u_\lambda, \psi_{v_\lambda})} = 2 \frac{u_\lambda(x)v_\lambda(y)}{(u_\lambda, v_\lambda)'} = -2 \frac{e^{\eta(\lambda)(x-y)}s(i\eta(\lambda), \lambda; x, y)}{D''(\lambda)} = -r_2(x, y)$$

at $\lambda = \lambda_0$. We have thus shown the proposition. \square

Finally, we give an asymptotic expansion of the Green function $G_z(x, y)$ as the spectral parameter z approaches one of edges of the spectrum of L . We show it in a direct and elementary way, although the expansion of resolvents for Schrödinger operators with periodic potentials is given by [G, Corollary 4.2]. Let $\Delta_+ := \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$. We denote by $z^{\frac{1}{2}}$ a branch of the square root of $z \in \Delta_+$ such that $z^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{r}e^{i\theta/2}$ for $z = re^{i\theta}$, $0 < \theta < 2\pi$, $r > 0$. Note that λ is an edge of the spectrum of L if and only if $|D(\lambda)| = 2$ and $D'(\lambda) \neq 0$. If $D(\lambda) = 2$ and $D'(\lambda) \neq 0$, there exist real-valued linearly independent solutions u and ψ of $Ly = \lambda y$ such that u is a real-valued periodic function with period 1 and $\psi(x) = xu(x) + v(x)$ for some real-valued periodic function v with period 1; if $D(\lambda) = -2$ and $D'(\lambda) \neq 0$, there exist real-valued linearly independent solutions u and ψ of $Ly = \lambda y$ such that u is a real-valued semi-periodic function with semi-period 1, i.e., $u(x+1) = -u(x)$, and $\psi(x) = xu(x) + v(x)$ for some real-valued semi-periodic function v with semi-period 1 (cf. [E, p.7 and p.29]).

Theorem 5. *Assume that μ_{2n-1} is an edge of the spectrum of L . Then for any integer $m \geq -1$ one has the expansion for small $z - \mu_{2n-1} \in \Delta_+$*

$$G_z(x, y) = \sum_{j=-1}^m (z - \mu_{2n-1})^{\frac{j}{2}} q_j(x, y) + r_m(z; x, y),$$

where $r_m(z; x, y)$ satisfies the estimate: for any $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$

$$|r_m(z; x, y)| \leq C_m |z - \mu_{2n-1}|^{(m+\theta)/2} (|x - y| + 1)^{m+1+\theta}.$$

Furthermore, $q_j(x, y)$ is of the form

$$q_j(x, y) = q_j(y, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{j+1} (x - y)^k q_{j,k}(x, y), \quad y \leq x,$$

for some $q_{j,k}(x, y) \in C(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} q_{-1}(x, y) &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\lambda''_{2n-1}(0)}} \frac{u(x)u(y)}{\|u\|^2}, \\ q_0(x, y) &= q_0(y, x) = \lambda''_{2n-1}(0)^{-1} (u(x)\psi(y) - \psi(x)u(y)) / \|u\|^2, \quad y \leq x, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda''_{2n-1}(0) > 0$, and u and ψ are real-valued linearly independent solutions of $Ly = \mu_{2n-1}y$ such that u is a periodic function with period 1 and $\psi(x) = xu(x) + v(x)$ for some periodic function v with period 1.

Remark 6. If ν_{2n-1} , ν_{2n} , or μ_{2n} is an edge of the spectrum, a similar expansion holds around it.

Proof. Since $D(\mu_{2n-1}) = 2$ and $D'(\mu_{2n-1}) < 0$, there exists a holomorphic inverse function D^{-1} of D near $D = 2$. Put $\lambda(\zeta) = D^{-1}(e^{i\zeta} + e^{-i\zeta})$ near $\zeta = 0$. Then $\lambda(\xi) = \lambda_{2n-1}(\xi) \geq \mu_{2n-1}$ for small $\xi \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\lambda'(0) = 0$. Furthermore, since $D(\lambda(\xi)) = 2 \cos \xi$, we have

$$D''(\lambda(\xi))\lambda'(\xi)^2 + D'(\lambda(\xi))\lambda''(\xi) = -2 \cos \xi.$$

This implies that $\lambda''(0) = -2/D'(\mu_{2n-1}) > 0$. Therefore we can choose a sufficiently small positive number R such that the set $\{\lambda(\zeta); \operatorname{Im} \zeta > 0, |\zeta| < R\}$ is a subdomain of $\mathbf{C} \setminus [\mu_{2n-1}, \infty)$. We have also that $s_1(1, \mu_{2n-1})$ and $c_2(1, \mu_{2n-1})$ are not both zero (cf. [E, p.29]). So we can choose a holomorphic eigenvector $(\alpha_1(\zeta), \alpha_2(\zeta))$ of $M(\lambda(\zeta))$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $e^{i\zeta}$ near $\zeta = 0$. Put $y_\zeta(x) := \alpha_1(\zeta)c_1(x, \lambda(\zeta)) + \alpha_2(\zeta)s_1(x, \lambda(\zeta))$. Then $u_\zeta(x) := e^{-i\zeta x}y_\zeta(x)$ is a holomorphic eigenfunction of $L(\zeta)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda(\zeta)$ near $\zeta = 0$. Let $\mathbf{C}_+ := \{\zeta \in \mathbf{C}; \operatorname{Im} \zeta > 0\}$. For small $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}_+$, since $\overline{\lambda(\zeta)} = \lambda(\bar{\zeta})$, it follows that $y_\zeta = e^{i\zeta x}u_\zeta$ and $\overline{y_\zeta} = e^{-i\bar{\zeta}x}\overline{u_\zeta}$ are linearly independent solutions to $Ly = \lambda(\zeta)y$. Hence as in the proof of Theorem 1, since $i[y_\zeta, \overline{y_\zeta}](0) = \lambda'(\zeta)(u_\zeta, u_{\bar{\zeta}})$, we have for small $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}_+$

$$G_{\lambda(\zeta)}(x, y) = G_{\lambda(\zeta)}(y, x) = y_\zeta(x)\overline{y_\zeta(y)}/[y_\zeta, \overline{y_\zeta}](0) = i\lambda'(\zeta)^{-1}e^{i(x-y)\zeta}p_\zeta(x, y), \quad y \leq x, \quad (13)$$

where $p_\zeta(x, y) := u_\zeta(x)\overline{u_\zeta(y)}/(u_\zeta, u_{\bar{\zeta}})$ is a $C(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{T})$ -valued holomorphic function near $\zeta = 0$. Let $y \leq x$. We write the Taylor expansion of $e^{i(x-y)\zeta}p_\zeta(x, y)$ with respect to ζ as follows:

$$e^{i(x-y)\zeta}p_\zeta(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^m \tilde{q}_j(x, y)\zeta^j + \tilde{r}_m(\zeta; x, y), \quad (14)$$

where

$$\tilde{q}_j(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^j (x-y)^k \tilde{q}_{j,k}(x, y) \quad (15)$$

for some $\tilde{q}_{j,k}(x, y) \in C(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{T})$, and $\tilde{r}_m(\zeta; x, y)$ satisfies the estimate: for any $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$

$$|\tilde{r}_m(\zeta; x, y)| \leq C_m |\zeta|^{m+\theta} (|x-y|+1)^{m+\theta}. \quad (16)$$

Let us show this remainder estimate. We have

$$e^{i(x-y)\zeta} = \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{(i(x-y)\zeta)^j}{j!} + \frac{(i(x-y)\zeta)^{m+1}}{m!} \int_0^1 (1-t)^m e^{it(x-y)\zeta} dt.$$

Thus

$$\left| e^{i(x-y)\zeta} - \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{(i(x-y)\zeta)^j}{j!} \right| \leq \frac{(|x-y||\zeta|)^{m+1}}{(m+1)!},$$

since $\operatorname{Re}[it(x-y)\zeta] \leq 0$. This implies that

$$|\tilde{r}_m(\zeta; x, y)| \leq C_m |\zeta|^{m+1} (|x-y|+1)^{m+1}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\tilde{r}_m(\zeta; x, y) = \tilde{r}_{m-1}(\zeta; x, y) - \tilde{q}_m(x, y)\zeta^m,$$

we have

$$|\tilde{r}_m(\zeta; x, y)| \leq C_m |\zeta|^m (|x - y| + 1)^m.$$

Hence we get the desired estimate (16). We see that $\tilde{q}_0(x, y) = p_0(x, y)$ and $\tilde{q}_1(x, y) = i(x - y)p_0(x, y) + \partial_\zeta p_\zeta(x, y)|_{\zeta=0}$. We shall show that $\tilde{q}_1(x, y) = i(\psi(x)u(y) - u(x)\psi(y))/\|u\|^2$, where $u(x)$ and $\psi(x) = xu(x) + v(x)$ are linearly independent solutions stated in the theorem. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\zeta y_\zeta|_{\zeta=0} &= \alpha'_1(0)c_1(x, \mu_{2n-1}) + \alpha'_2(0)s_1(x, \mu_{2n-1}) = ixu_0 + \partial_\zeta u_\zeta|_{\zeta=0}, \\ \partial_\zeta \bar{y}_\zeta|_{\zeta=0} &= \overline{\alpha'_1(0)}c_1(x, \mu_{2n-1}) + \overline{\alpha'_2(0)}s_1(x, \mu_{2n-1}) = -ix\bar{u}_0 + \partial_\zeta \bar{u}_\zeta|_{\zeta=0}. \end{aligned}$$

So $\partial_\zeta y_\zeta|_{\zeta=0}$ and $\partial_\zeta \bar{y}_\zeta|_{\zeta=0} = \overline{\partial_\zeta y_\zeta|_{\zeta=0}}$ are solutions of $Ly = \mu_{2n-1}y$, and we have $u_0 = cu$ and $\partial_\zeta y_\zeta|_{\zeta=0} = icv + c'u$ for some $c, c' \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence

$$\partial_\zeta u_\zeta|_{\zeta=0} = icv(x) + c'u(x), \quad \partial_\zeta \bar{u}_\zeta|_{\zeta=0} = -i\bar{c}v(x) + \bar{c}'u(x).$$

Using this we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_1(x, y) &= i(x - y)p_0(x, y) + \partial_\zeta p_\zeta(x, y)|_{\zeta=0} \\ &= i(x - y)p_0(x, y) + \frac{\partial_\zeta(u_\zeta(x)\bar{u}_\zeta(y))|_{\zeta=0}}{\|u_0\|^2} - p_0(x, y) \frac{(u_\zeta, u_\zeta)'|_{\zeta=0}}{\|u_0\|^2} \\ &= i(x - y) \frac{u(x)u(y)}{\|u\|^2} + \frac{(icv(x) + c'u(x))\bar{c}u(y) + cu(x)(-i\bar{c}v(y) + \bar{c}'u(y))}{|c|^2\|u\|^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{u(x)u(y)}{\|u\|^2} \frac{2\operatorname{Re}(icv + c'u, cu)}{|c|^2\|u\|^2} \\ &= i(x - y)u(x)u(y)/\|u\|^2 + i(v(x)u(y) - u(x)v(y))/\|u\|^2 \\ &= i(\psi(x)u(y) - u(x)\psi(y))/\|u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

There exists an entire function $F(z)$ such that $F(\zeta^2) = e^{i\zeta} + e^{-i\zeta} - 2$; $F(z)$ is real for real z , $F(0) = 0$, and $F'(0) = -1$. So there exists an inverse function F^{-1} of F near the origin. Thus for $\delta > 0$ small, the map $z \in \{z \in \Delta_+ + \mu_{2n-1}; |z - \mu_{2n-1}| < \delta\} \mapsto \zeta(z) := (F^{-1}(D(z) - 2))^{\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathbb{C}_+$ is conformal from the disc with the cut to the intersection of a neighborhood of the origin and \mathbb{C}_+ . Note that $\lambda(\zeta(z)) = z$. Noting that $D(z) - 2 = D'(\mu_{2n-1})(z - \mu_{2n-1}) + O((z - \mu_{2n-1})^2)$ and $F^{-1}(w) = -w + O(w^2)$, we have the Puiseux series

$$\zeta(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(z - \mu_{2n-1})^{j+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (17)$$

where $a_0 = \sqrt{|D'(\mu_{2n-1})|} = \sqrt{2/\lambda''_{2n-1}(0)}$. Note that $\lambda'(\zeta(z))^{-1} = \zeta'(z)$. By (13), (14) and (17),

$$\begin{aligned} G_z(x, y) &= i\zeta'(z)e^{i(x-y)\zeta(z)}p_{\zeta(z)}(x, y) \\ &= i\left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j\left(j + \frac{1}{2}\right)(z - \mu_{2n-1})^{j-1/2}\right]\left[\sum_{j=0}^m \tilde{q}_j(x, y)\zeta(z)^j + \tilde{r}_m(\zeta(z); x, y)\right] \\ &= \sum_{j=-1}^m (z - \mu_{2n-1})^{j/2}q_j(x, y) + r_m(z; x, y). \end{aligned}$$

This together with (15) and (16) yields the desired expansion. \square

REFERENCES

- [E] M. S. P. Eastham, *The spectral theory of periodic differential equations*, Scottish Academic Press, Edinburgh and London, 1973.
- [G] C. Gérard, *Resonance theory for periodic Schrödinger operators*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **118** (1990), 27–54.
- [Ku] P. Kuchment, *Floquet Theory for Partial Differential Equations*, Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 1993.
- [Ma] V. A. Marchenko, *Sturm-Liouville operators and applications*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1986.
- [RS] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics I, Functional analysis; IV, Analysis of Operators*, Academic Press, London, 1978.